1	PETER S. HECKER (Bar No. 66159)		
2	phecker@sheppardmullin.com		
	DAVID E. SNIDER (Bai No. 202001)		
3	dsnyder@sheppardmullin.com SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON, LLP		
4	Four Embarcadero Center	, EEI	
	Seventeenth Floor		
5	San Francisco, CA 94111-4109		
6	Telephone: (415) 774-3155		
	Facsimile: (415) 403-6224		
7	EDANK C. DUDT (pro has vise)		
8	FRANK G. BURT (pro hac vice) fburt@cfjblaw.com		
	W. GLENN MERTEN (pro hac vice)		
9	gmerten@cfjblaw.com		
10	BRIAN P. PERRYMAN (pro hac vice)		
	bperryman@cfjblaw.com		
11∥	CARLTON FIELDS JORDEN BURT		
12	1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW		
'~	Built 100 East		
13∥	Washington, DC 20007-0805 Telephone: (202) 965-8100		
14	Facsimile: (202) 965-8104		
'⁻∥			
15∥	Attorneys for Defendant		
16	AMERÍCAN SECURITY INSURANCE COM	PANI	
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
18	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
19	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
20	STANLEY D. CANNON, PATRICIA R.	Case No. 3:12-cv-01376-EMC	
21	CANNON, and CHERYL BULLOCK,	Case 110. 3.12 CV 01370 E1110	
	individually and for other persons similarly	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER	
22	situated,	ESTABLISHING BRIEFING SCHEDULE	
23	Plaintiffs,	ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STAY; DECLARATION OF PETER S. HECKER	
	v.	IN SUPPORT OF SAME	
24	v.		
25	WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.; WELLS		
26	FARGO INSURANCE, INC.; and AMERICAN SECURITY INSURANCE		
ا ۵	COMPANY,		
27	D - C 1 4 -		
28	Defendants.		

STIPULATION TO MODIFY BRIEFING SCHEDULE

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-12, 6-1(b) and 6-2, it is hereby stipulated by and between the parties, through their respective attorneys, that:

WHEREAS, defendants on February 7, 2014 filed a motion to stay these proceedings, along with a motion to shorten time on the motion to stay;

WHEREAS, the Court indicated it plans to consider the motion to stay on an expedited basis;

WHEREAS, the parties have agreed that, subject to the Court's approval, the briefing shall proceed on an expedited basis;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED THAT, subject to the Court's approval:

- Plaintiffs shall file and serve their response to defendants' stay motion on or before
 February 11, 2014;
- Defendants shall file and serve their reply papers on or before February 14, 2014;
- The motion shall be considered at the earliest convenient date by the Court.

<u>Filer's Attestation</u>: Pursuant to L.R. 5-1(i)(3), Peter S. Hecker hereby attests that concurrence by all signatories in the filing of this document has been obtained.

////
////
////
////

Case 3:12-cv-01376-EMC Document 179 Filed 02/12/14 Page 3 of 6

1 2 3	Dated: February 11, 2014	/s/ Peter S. Hecker Peter S. Hecker SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP Attorneys for Assurant Inc. and American Security Insurance Co.
4	Dated: February 11, 2014	/s/ Barry Himmelstein
5		Barry Himmelstein HIMMELSTEIN LAW NETWORK
6		Attorneys for Plaintiffs Stanley D. Cannon, Patricia R. Cannon and Cheryl Bullock
7	Dated: February 11, 2014	/s/ Jonah Van Zandt
8	, ,	Jonah Van Zandt SEVERSON & WERSON
9		Attorneys for Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and Wells Fargo Insurance, Inc.
10 11		2 111.80 22.10 11.00 22.10 2
12		DISTO
13	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
14	Dated: February ¹² , 2014	S SO ORDERED. STATES DISTRICTOR
15	Dated. Febluary, 2014	The Honorable H
16		
17		United States I. Judge Edward M. Chen
18		
19		V DISTRICT OF CA
20		
21		
2223		
24		
25		
26		
27		

DECLARATION OF PETER S. HECKER

I, Peter S. Hecker, declare:

- 1. I am an attorney duly admitted to practice before this Court. I am a partner with the law firm of Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton, LLP, counsel for defendant American Security Insurance Company in this case ("ASIC"). I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth below.
- 2. As set forth in the above stipulation, plaintiffs Stanley D. Cannon, Patricia R. Cannon and Cheryl Bullock (collectively "plaintiffs") have stipulated that plaintiffs shall file and serve their response to defendants' motion to stay (the "motion") on or before February 11, 2014; defendants shall file and serve their reply papers on or before February 14, 2014; and consideration of the motion shall be at the earliest convenience of the Court.
 - 3. Previous time modifications in this case include:
 - On July 13, 2012, the Court granted Plaintiffs' motion to extend time to respond to Wells Fargo motion to dismiss. (ECF No. 14.)
 - On July 18, 2012, the Court continued a Case Management Conference. (ECF No. 15.)
 - On August 9, 2012, the parties stipulated to extend former defendant Assurant, Inc.'s time to respond to the Complaint. (ECF No. 31.)
 - On August 20, 2012, the Court continued a Case Management Conference. (ECF No. 40.)
 - On August 20, 2012, the parties stipulated to extend former defendant Federal National Mortgage Association's time to respond to the Amended Complaint. (ECF No. 43.)
 - On August 21, 2012, the parties stipulated to extend Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.'s time to respond to the First Amended Complaint. (ECF No. 48.)
 - On August 22, 2012, the Court continued a Case Management Conference. (ECF No. 50.)
 - On September 10, 2012, the Court continued a Case Management Conference. (No docket number.)

27

- On October 30, 2012, the Court continued deadlines for motions to dismiss and continued a Case Management Conference. (ECF No. 73.)
- On December 3, 2012, the Court continued a Case Management Conference. (No docket number.)
- On January 10, 2013, the Court continued a Case Management Conference. (ECF No. 95.)
- On January 23, 2013, the Court continued a Case Management Conference, pursuant to stipulation. (ECF No. 98.)
- On July 2, 2013, the Court continued a Case Management Conference. (ECF No. 115.)
- On July 17, 2013, the Court continued a Case Management Conference, pursuant to stipulation. (ECF No. 123.)
- On September 9, 2013, the Court continued a Case Management Conference. (ECF No. 132.)
- On September 27, 2013, the Court continued a Case Management Conference. (No docket number.)
- On October 30, 2013, the Court continued the deadlines for Wells Fargo and ASIC to respond to the Third Amended Complaint, pursuant to stipulation. (ECF No. 145.)
- On November 12, 2013, the Court continued a Case Management Conference. (ECF No. 152.)
- On November 22, 2013, the Court continued motion hearings and a Case Management Conference, pursuant to stipulation.
- 4. Due to the minimal nature of the stipulated shortening of time, I believe that the requested extensions for consideration of the stay motion will not have any significant impact on the schedule for the case.

```
////
```

27

Case 3:12-cv-01376-EMC Document 179 Filed 02/12/14 Page 6 of 6

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 11th day of February 2014 at San Francisco, California. /s/ Peter S. Hecker PETER S. HECKER